From:

Catherine Alvarenga [oneof9@optonline.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga 350 Hancock St Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Catherine Alvarenga [oneof9@optonline.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga 350 Hancock St Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Catherine Alvarenga [oneof9@optonline.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga 350 Hancock St Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Alvarenga [oneof9@optonline.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga 350 Hancock St Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

#### Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Green [lala2@iwon.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:05 PM

To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green 414 thrush ave duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

## Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Green [lala2@iwon.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green 414 thrush ave duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Green [lala2@iwon.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green 414 thrush ave duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Green [lala2@iwon.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green 414 thrush ave duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Catherine Green [lala2@iwon.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green 414 thrush ave duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Cdmd2000@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, September 22, 2004 2:54 PM Jonathan Adelstein

To:

Subject:

Re: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Commissioner Adelstein, I oppose the A La Carte Cable Regulation. It would greatly hinder Christian and Jewish broadcasts. Sincerely, Mary Lu DeWitt 2000 S. Ocean Blvd 9A Boca Raton, FL 33432

From:

Carrie Mason [mason\_family@msn.cm]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 2:07 PM

Sent:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma 11109 SW Pecan Road Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

#### Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason 580-284-6198 RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma

From: Sent:

Carrie Mason [mason\_family@msn.cm]
Tuesday, October 19, 2004 2:07 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein
Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma 11109 SW Pecan Road

Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason 580-284-6198 RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma

From:

Carol Potter [catsandus@tds.net]
Monday, October 18, 2004 8:53 AM

Sent: To:

**KAQuinn** 

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carol Potter 2304 8th Street Rockford, IL 61104

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carol Potter [catsandus@tds.net]
Monday, October 18, 2004 8:53 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carol Potter 2304 8th Street Rockford, IL 61104

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carol Potter [catsandus@tds.net] Monday, October 18, 2004 8:53 AM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carol Potter 2304 8th Street Rockford, IL 61104

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

#### Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

Carol Potter [catsandus@tds.net]

To: Subject: Monday, October 18, 2004 8:53 AM

Commissioner Adelstein
Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carol Potter 2304 8th Street Rockford, IL 61104

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

carole Morgan [cmorgan@nj.rr.com]

To:

Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:51 AM Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: carole Morgan [cmorgan@nj.rr.com] Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:51 AM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

carole Morgan [cmorgan@nj.rr.com]
Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:51 AM

Sent: To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

carole Morgan [cmorgan@nj.rr.com] Wednesday, October 20, 2004 4:51 AM

Sent: To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

carole Morgan Citizen 536 Abbott Avenue Ridgefield,n.j , NJ 07657

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carole Elmore [CElmore208@verizon.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:49 PM

Sent:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carole Elmore [CElmore208@verizon.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:49 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein
Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

#### Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carole Elmore [CElmore208@verizon.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:49 PM

To:

**KJMWEB** 

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From: Sent: Carole Elmore [CElmore208@verizon.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:49 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carole Elmore [CElmore208@verizon.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:49 PM

Sent: To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carole Elmore 4613 Young Street S. Charleston, WV 25309

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

From:

Carrie Mason [mason\_family@msn.cm] Tuesday, October 19, 2004 2:07 PM

Sent: To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma 11109 SW Pecan Road Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason 580-284-6198 RN, Nurse Manager State of Oklahoma

From:

Carol Moore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carol Moore 214 -302-6241 Ms. CFNC church member

From:

Carol Moore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carol Moore 214 -302-6241 Ms. CFNC church member

From:

Carol Moore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

# Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carol Moore 214 - 302 - 6241 Ms. CFNC church member

From:

Carol Moore [me@christforthenationschurch.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 15, 2004 2:53 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Carol Moore Ms. CFNC church member 3212 E. Ledbetter Dallas, TX 75216

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carol Moore 214 -302-6241 Ms. CFNC church member